

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Inquiry From Director of Security, FEMA

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

Policy and Plans Group/OS  
4E-70, Hdqs.

DATE

9 March 1983

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/Ops/PTAS  
202

The Director of Security has been briefed on the broad context of the attached and is inclined to afford FEMA assistance through ISSG and TSD, whenever we can.

Please review the attached in terms of responding to paragraphs 3 and 4. You may consider use of Messrs. [redacted] in this connection.

note regional centers are involved.

In view of the policy to respond quickly to the type of request involved, please forward comments by 16 March.

## ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

3 / 8 / 83

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number,  
building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1.

CTPPG

2.

3.

4.

5.

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

## REMARKS

I briefed the D/OS 3/1/83 and his inclination is to assist FEMA, wherever we can — but in terms of ISS and TSD [redacted] did not read the memo so not familiar with the fine print.

Please coordinate with PTAS, & prepare a response for the signature of D/OS.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

DD / P&amp;M

Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)

Prescribed by GSA  
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

STAT



# Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

MAR 03 1983

Director  
Office of Security

STAT

Director of Security  
Central Intelligence Agency

Dear Bill:

As I become more involved in efforts to enhance security programs here at FEMA, I frequently recall your observations about short average experience time of staffs. I have a slightly different problem. My staff is very small, long on narrow aspects of security and short on scope. I have no expertise in many of the security disciplines, one of which is physical/technical.

You recall my mentioning that FEMA was seeking to build facilities to NFIB/NFIC-9.1/47 SCIF standards at headquarters and in our ten regional centers. One element of the SCIF standards calls for intermittent ACM inspections. Another calls for compliance with DCID 1/16 for computer security.

Recognizing that ACM resources are tight around the community and in view of the fact that I have not yet been able to secure an in-house capability, I am considering the possibility of contracting for this service. Two questions: First, would you accept the results of contracted ACM inspections of SCIFs? Second, are there any standards to which such inspections must be done and any guidance I could have as to what to do in the event a technical penetration is located?

In connection with computer security, would you be able to provide me with a few copies of DCID 1/16 and any subsequent guidance you may have issued in this most important and rapidly growing field.

Again, I enjoyed meeting you and may I offer the full hospitality of FEMA if you find opportunity to accept my invitation to visit.

Sincerely,

Arlan Kinney

05 3 0573

**Page Denied**